



EPA Puget Sound Financial and Ecosystem Accounting Tracking System (FEATS) v. September 2012 for Lead Organization Subawardees

Photo by Rebecca Pirtle, Editor, Kingston Community News (Doe-Kag-Wats Estuary of the Suquamish Tribe)

PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Federal Grant Number	PA-01J276-01	*2a. Reporting Period Start Date:	10/1/2016	*2b. Reporting Period End Date:	3/30/2017
3. Subaward Organization (Name and complete address including zip code) Name: Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe Address 1: 1033 Old Blyn Highway Address 2: City: Sequim State: WA Zip Code: 98382-			4. Subaward Project Manager Contact Information Name: Hansi Hals Phone: (360) 681-4601 Ext: Fax: (360) 681-4611 Email: hhals@jamestowntribe.org		
5a. EPA Program LO - Tribal	5b. Subaward Project Title and Contract No. Dungeness Floodplain Protection and Recovery / 16EPA PSP402		*6. Collaborating Organizations/Partners		

Subawardee Submission Instructions: LO fills in the white boxes. Subawardee fills in the yellow boxes (boxes with asterisks). Refer to guidance document for how to fill out the boxes. After filling out the yellow boxes, save and e-mail it to your LO Project Manager for approval. LO will roll up the information and submit to EPA for approval.	LO Project Manager: Dani Madrone LO: Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission Phone: 360.528.4318 email: dmadrone@nwifc.org LO Program Coordinator: LO: Phone: email: EPA Project Officer: Lisa Chang	*7a. Name/Title of Person Submitting Report	Hansi Hals Environmental Planning Mgr.
		*7b. Date Report Submitted	4/30/2017

FUNDING/COST ANALYSIS

8a. Total Assistance Amount Awarded:	\$184,100.00	8b. Funding Year (Federal Fiscal Year Funds Appropriated)	FY 2016 ----- ----- -----	*9. Amount Spent To-Date:	\$46,834.75	*10. Amount Reimbursed To-Date:	
11. Match Amount Required	\$0.00	*12. Total Match Amount Spent and Documented To-Date:		*13. Have you experienced any cost overruns or high unit costs?			
*14. What issues or questions do you need the LO Project Manager to respond to?	None at this time.						

BUDGET UPDATE

	15a. APPROVED BUDGET			*15b. SPENT TO-DATE		
	LO (EPA) Funds	MATCH	TOTAL	LO (EPA) Funds	MATCH	TOTAL
Personnel	\$53,536.00	\$0.00	\$53,536.00			\$ 0.00
Fringe Benefits	\$20,345.00	\$0.00	\$20,345.00			\$ 0.00
Travel	\$350.00	\$0.00	\$ 350.00			\$ 0.00
Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00			\$ 0.00
Supplies	\$150.00	\$0.00	\$ 150.00			\$ 0.00
Contracts	\$31,900.00	\$0.00	\$31,900.00			\$ 0.00
Other	\$35,000.00	\$0.00	\$35,000.00	\$46,834.75		\$46,834.75
TOTAL DIRECT CHARGES	\$141,281.00	\$0.00	\$141,281.00	\$46,834.75		\$46,834.75
Indirect Charges	\$42,813.00	\$0.00	\$42,813.00	\$0.00		\$ 0.00
TOTAL	\$184,094.00	\$0.00	\$184,094.00	\$46,834.65		\$46,834.65
*Explain Any Discrepancies:						

ECOSYSTEM GOALS ADDRESSED

16a. Primary Goal	Healthy Habitat
16b. Additional Goals	-----

DIRECT THREATS ADDRESSED

17a. Primary Threat	-----
17b. Secondary Threat(s)	-----

LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND ACTION AGENDA (Version Adopted August 2012)

18a. Primary Strategic Initiative	Habitat Protection/Restoration
18b. Sub-Strategies Employed	5.4
18c. Near-Term Actions Supported	2016-0130

LINKAGES TO EPA PUGET SOUND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

19. Measure(s)	Habitat Restored/Protected -----
----------------	----------------------------------

LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND DASHBOARD INDICATORS

20a. Primary Indicator	Floodplains
20b. Secondary Indicators	-----

PROJECT LOCATION

21a. Latitude	48°05'07" N	21b. Longitude	123°08'48" W
21c. Hydrologic Unit Code	-----	-----	-----
21d. Action Area	-----	-----	-----

MEASURES OF SUCCESS (Key Outputs)

*22a. Description (e.g., "shellfish beds reopened")	*22b. Unit (e.g., "acres")	*22c. Project Target ("number")	*22d. Project Measure To-Date ("number")
Floodplain acquired for habitat protection			8

PROJECT MILESTONES

Instructions: In the tables below, please explain your progress toward meeting agreed outputs for the period, **reasons for slippages**, and any additional information including **reflections, lessons learned, and/or thoughtful analysis**. When appropriate, include analysis and information of **cost overruns or high unit costs**, and changes to work plan or budget not requiring prior approval from EPA. We encourage photo documentation - please attach to the report as a separate document.

23a. Subaward Work Plan Component/Task: Acquisition of Dungeness River floodplain for protection and restoration					
23b. 2012 Action Agenda Near-Term Action(s) Supported:					
*23c. Estimated Costs:					
Actual Costs to Date:					
(If required to report – contact your Project Manager)					
23d. Sub-Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description (include due date)	*23f. Date of Status	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
1.1	Perform landowner outreach.	3/31/17	COMPLETED	Outputs: Landowner agreement	
1.2	Conduct due diligence for any high priority floodplain parcels with landowner willingness.	3/31/17	COMPLETED	Outputs: Appraisal, Purchase and Sale agreement, Other due diligence	Appraisal, Title Report, septic inspection, boundary survey, environmental contamination survey, tenant relocation negotiation all completed during this reporting period.

1.3	Provide matching funds for purchase of high priority parcels.	3/31/17	COMPLETED	Outputs: Closing Deliverable: Recoding document (deed/easement)	Matching costs provided by Bureau of Indian Affairs, and Salmon Recovery Funds.
-----	---	---------	-----------	---	---

23a. Subaward Work Plan Component/Task: Support of General Investigation of the Dungeness River

23b. 2012 Action Agenda Near-Term Action(s) Supported:

***23c. Estimated Costs:**
Actual Costs to Date:
(If required to report – contact your Project Manager)

23d. Sub-Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description (include due date)	*23f. Date of Status	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
2.1	Project Development Team will work to identify problems, opportunities, objectives, and constraints. Team will also identify existing conditions and future without project conditions using available data to the extent possible. All possible management measures to address the problems will be identified and subsequently formulated into a focused array of alternatives (Assume approximately 3-5 alternatives). In this milestone phase, the Project Development Team will also identify the criteria that will be used to evaluate the alternatives based on the study objectives. At the conclusion of this phase of work, ACOE Vertical Team shall review and agree on the focused array of alternatives and the team's proposed path forward for continuing feasibility.	3/31/17	CURRENT	Outputs: Identification of restoration alternatives Deliverables: Existing conditions report	ACOE has requested that JST sign an amendment to the existing Federal Cost Share Agreement. JST has not signed because it commits the Tribe to \$1.5m of which the Tribe only has a small portion secured. JST has been developing a plan B. The Tribe did apply for \$200,000 of NRCS funding during this reporting period that would be eligible as match. The Tribe also applied for \$85,000 from Hood Canal Coordinating Council which was awarded and utilized for bathymetric lidar of Dungeness River and Bay.
2.2	During this phase of the feasibility study, the Project Development Team develops conceptual designs and parametric cost estimates for the focused array of alternatives. Economic and environmental evaluations will be completed to inform selection of a final array of alternatives (approximately 1-3	3/31/17	PLANNED	Outputs: Tentatively selected plan Deliverables: Hydrology and Hydraulics model	

	alternatives) and ultimately a Tentatively Selected Plan (TSP). The TSP Milestone meeting ensures ACOE Vertical Team concurrence on the plan that will be released as part of the draft feasibility study report for public and agency review. The Detailed Feasibility Report will be drafted as a component of this milestone. An Environmental Impact Statement or Environmental Assessment will be prepared, if required for the selected plan.				
2.3	This milestone occurs after completion of the review of the Detailed Feasibility Report and environmental compliance documents. Comments from public, Agency Technical Review (ATR), HQ Policy Review, and Independent External Peer Review (IEPR) of the Draft Feasibility Report and environmental compliance documentation will be responded to and resolved prior to the Agency Decision Milestone.	3/31/17	PLANNED	Outputs: Public process conducted	
2.4	Project Development Team completes the feasibility (35%) level design and finalizes the Detailed Feasibility Report.	3/31/17	PLANNED	Deliverables: Feasibility report	

CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS (specific to reporting period)

*24a. Task No., Sub-Task No.	*24b. Challenge	*24c. Solution
2.1	Jamestown does not have required match to initiate cooperative work with ACOE. ACOE has discussed dismissing the Dungeness GI and reobligating the \$1.5 m funding currently reserved for Dungeness.	If Jamestown cannot provide the required match, we will conduct a Dungeness Study
1- outside of this scope but related to property purchase.	Bank armoring on site that JST would like to remove but within a grove of riparian trees that have grown up and do not want to remove the riparian canopy.	Interviewing contractors to see if they can use smaller equipment and have very limited tree removal for project.

HIGHLIGHTS/LESSONS LEARNED/REFLECTIONS

*25.