



EPA Puget Sound Financial and Ecosystem Accounting Tracking System (FEATS) v. September 2012 for Lead Organization Subawardees

Photo by Rebecca Pirtle, Editor, Kingston Community News (Doe-Kag-Wats Estuary of the Suquamish Tribe)

PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Federal Grant Number	PA-00J912-01	*2a. Reporting Period Start Date:	10/1/2017	*2b. Reporting Period End Date:	3/31/2018
3. Subaward Organization (Name and complete address including zip code)			4. Subaward Project Manager Contact Information		
Name: Skagit River System Cooperative Address 1: P.O. Box 368 Address 2: City: La Conner State: WA Zip Code: 98257-			Name: Steve Hinton Phone: (360) 391-1354 Ext: Fax: () - Email: shinton@skagitcoop.org		
5a. EPA Program		5b. Subaward Project Title and Contract No.		*6. Collaborating Organizations/Partners	
LO - Tribal		Skagit River Steelhead Recovery Stakeholder Engagement & Implementation / 15EPA PSP428			

<u>Subawardee Submission Instructions:</u> LO fills in the white boxes. Subawardee fills in the yellow boxes (boxes with asterisks). Refer to guidance document for how to fill out the boxes. After filling out the yellow boxes, save and e-mail it to your LO Project Manager for approval. LO will roll up the information and submit to EPA for approval.	LO Project Manager: Dani Madrone LO: Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission Phone: 360.528.4318 email: dmadrone@nwifc.org LO Program Coordinator: LO: Phone: email: EPA Project Officer: Lisa Chang	*7a. Name/Title of Person Submitting Report	Steve Hinton Director of Restoration
		*7b. Date Report Submitted	5/14/18

FUNDING/COST ANALYSIS

8a. Total Assistance Amount Awarded:	\$112,200.00	8b. Funding Year (Federal Fiscal Year Funds Appropriated)	FY 2015 ----- ----- -----	*9. Amount Spent To-Date:	\$14,840.65	*10. Amount Reimbursed To-Date:	\$8,526.14
11. Match Amount Required	\$0.00	*12. Total Match Amount Spent and Documented To-Date:		*13. Have you experienced any cost overruns or high unit costs?	See Below		
*14. What issues or questions do you need the LO Project Manager to respond to?							

BUDGET UPDATE

	15a. APPROVED BUDGET			*15b. SPENT TO-DATE		
	LO (EPA) Funds	MATCH	TOTAL	LO (EPA) Funds	MATCH	TOTAL
Personnel	\$55,101.00	\$0.00	\$55,101.00	\$4,145.83	\$0.00	\$4,145.83
Fringe Benefits	\$18,734.00	\$0.00	\$18,734.00	\$1,312.74	\$0.00	\$1,312.74
Travel	\$2,750.00	\$0.00	\$2,750.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00
Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00		\$0.00	\$ 0.00
Supplies	\$657.00	\$0.00	\$ 657.00	\$27.13	\$0.00	\$ 27.13
Contracts	\$15,725.00	\$0.00	\$15,725.00	\$8,040.00	\$0.00	\$8,040.00
Other	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00	\$54.54	\$0.00	\$ 54.54
TOTAL DIRECT CHARGES	\$92,967.00	\$0.00	\$92,967.00	\$13,580.24	\$0.00	\$13,580.24
Indirect Charges	\$19,233.00	\$0.00	\$19,233.00	\$1,260.41	\$0.00	\$1,260.41
TOTAL	\$112,200.00	\$0.00	\$112,200.00	\$14,840.65	\$0.00	\$14,840.65
*Explain Any Discrepancies:	Equipment/Vehicle Rental & O&M reported under "Other."					

ECOSYSTEM GOALS ADDRESSED

16a. Primary Goal	Healthy Species
16b. Additional Goals	Healthy Habitat -----

DIRECT THREATS ADDRESSED

17a. Primary Threat	-----
17b. Secondary Threat(s)	-----

LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND ACTION AGENDA (Version Adopted August 2012)

18a. Primary Strategic Initiative	Tribal Habitat Priorities
18b. Sub-Strategies Employed	A.1.1 A.1.2 A.6.1 A.6.4 A.7.1 D.4.1.1
18c. Near-Term Actions Supported	

LINKAGES TO EPA PUGET SOUND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

19. Measure(s)	Habitat Restored/Protected -----
----------------	----------------------------------

LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND DASHBOARD INDICATORS

20a. Primary Indicator	Floodplains
20b. Secondary Indicators	Freshwater Quality -----

PROJECT LOCATION

21a. Latitude	48.389697	21b. Longitude	-122.500713
21c. Hydrologic Unit Code	17110007 - Lower Skagit	-----	-----
21d. Action Area	Whidbey	-----	-----

MEASURES OF SUCCESS (Key Outputs)

*22a. Description (e.g., “shellfish beds reopened”)	*22b. Unit (e.g., “acres”)	*22c. Project Target (“number”)	*22d. Project Measure To-Date (“number”)

PROJECT MILESTONES

Instructions: In the tables below, please explain your progress toward meeting agreed outputs for the period, **reasons for slippages**, and any additional information including **reflections, lessons learned, and/or thoughtful analysis**. When appropriate, include analysis and information of **cost overruns or high unit costs**, and changes to work plan or budget not requiring prior approval from EPA. We encourage photo documentation - please attach to the report as a separate document.

23a. Subaward Work Plan Component/Task: Skagit River Steelhead Recovery Stakeholder Engagement & Implementation					
23b. 2012 Action Agenda Near-Term Action(s) Supported:					
*23c. Estimated Costs: \$112,200.00 Actual Costs to Date: \$14,840.65 (If required to report – contact your Project Manager)					
23d. Sub-Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description (include due date)	*23f. Date of Status	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
1.1	Stakeholder Outreach and Engagement: Organize and schedule 3 workshop sessions; Present Draft Plan to general purpose governments; Present Draft Plan to SWC membership; Coordinate with interested groups to develop a stakeholder’s forum; Solicit comments and contributions; Incorporate comments and edit document;	03/31/2018	BEHIND SCHEDULE	Edited document with record of stakeholder involvement.	Draft plan not yet available, still in production. Some small outreach efforts had been made to selected stakeholder groups to help keep interested parties abreast of progress. Including SWC and NOAA Technical Team

	Document & summarize input/changes/follow-up				
1.2	Regional Vetting and Plan Integration with NOAA Recovery Planning; Present technical draft to NOAA Regional Recovery Team; Attend NOAA Regional Recovery Team Meetings; Present to interested staff of Regional Management Conference; Present at the end of the project to NOAA showing the results of outreach and stakeholder input.	03/31/2018	BEHIND SCHEDULE	A well vetted draft Recovery Plan ready for inclusion in Regional Recovery Plan.	We continue to stay engaged with regional planning efforts as draft plans and guidance are being developed. Release of the regional guidance to watersheds is expected in September. This effort is also behind schedule, but close collaboration with Regional Recovery Council planning and content will ensure Skagit efforts will closely link with regional guidance in advance of release. We believe the draft under construction will meet the test of regional standards.
1.3	Plan Adoption and Implementation: Adopt plan as strategy in each the Skagit LE and LIO forums; Secure letters of support from local governments; Secure letters of support from key interest groups; Submit to NOAA; Interim work plans and strategies; Implement	03/31/2018	PLANNED	Adopted Skagit Steelhead Recovery Plan; Near Term work plan and strategy for implementation	Skagit LIO will not be formed. SWC will become the primary vehicle for vetting the draft document.

CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS (specific to reporting period)

*24a. Task No., Sub-Task No.	*24b. Challenge	*24c. Solution
1.1	Co-manager concurrence on treatment of harvest and hatchery components	Resolution of Harvest components worked out via RMP management plan process completed by co-managers late 2016 and review and approved by NOAA fisheries in 2017. Discussions regarding hatchery elements are ongoing.
1.1	Setting recovery goals	Proposed recovery goal is being vetted by tribal policy managers. Concurrence with WDFW depends on outcome.
1.1	Model development and inputs	Movement from two stage to simpler less empirical one stage framework. One stage model is now published in peer-review literature.
1.1	Treatment of the Baker system	Unresolved to date. Resolution being sought by opening stakeholder review process.

HIGHLIGHTS/LESSONS LEARNED/REFLECTIONS

*25.