



# EPA Puget Sound Financial and Ecosystem Accounting Tracking System (FEATS) v. September 2012 for Lead Organization Subawardees

*Photo by Rebecca Pirtle, Editor, Kingston Community News (Doe-Kag-Wats Estuary of the Suquamish Tribe)*

## PROJECT INFORMATION

<b>1. Federal Grant Number</b>	PA-00J912-01	<b>*2a. Reporting Period Start Date:</b>	10/1/2018	<b>*2b. Reporting Period End Date:</b>	3/31/2019
<b>3. Subaward Organization (Name and complete address including zip code)</b>			<b>4. Subaward Project Manager Contact Information</b>		
Name: Skagit River System Cooperative Address 1: P.O. Box 368 Address 2: City: La Conner State: WA Zip Code: 98257-			Name: Steve Hinton Phone: (360) 391-1354 Ext: Fax: ( ) - Email: shinton@skagitcoop.org		
<b>5a. EPA Program</b>		<b>5b. Subaward Project Title and Contract No.</b>		<b>*6. Collaborating Organizations/Partners</b>	
LO - Tribal		Skagit River Steelhead Recovery Stakeholder Engagement & Implementation / 15EPA PSP428			

<b><u>Subawardee Submission Instructions:</u></b>  LO fills in the white boxes. Subawardee fills in the yellow boxes (boxes with asterisks). Refer to guidance document for how to fill out the boxes. After filling out the yellow boxes, save and e-mail it to your LO Project Manager for approval. LO will roll up the information and submit to EPA for approval.	<b>LO Project Manager:</b> Dani Madrone <b>LO:</b> Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission <b>Phone:</b> 360.528.4318 <b>email:</b> dmadrone@nwifc.org  <b>LO Program Coordinator:</b> <b>LO:</b> <b>Phone:</b> <b>email:</b>  <b>EPA Project Officer:</b> Lisa Chang	<b>*7a. Name/Title of Person Submitting Report</b>	Steve Hinton Director of Restoration
		<b>*7b. Date Report Submitted</b>	4/15/2019

## FUNDING/COST ANALYSIS

8a. Total Assistance Amount Awarded:	\$112,200.00	8b. Funding Year (Federal Fiscal Year Funds Appropriated)	FY 2015 ----- ----- -----	*9. Amount Spent To-Date:	\$60,325.60	*10. Amount Reimbursed To-Date:	\$17,181.76
11. Match Amount Required	\$0.00	*12. Total Match Amount Spent and Documented To-Date:		*13. Have you experienced any cost overruns or high unit costs?	See Below		
*14. What issues or questions do you need the LO Project Manager to respond to?							

## BUDGET UPDATE

	15a. APPROVED BUDGET			*15b. SPENT TO-DATE		
	LO (EPA) Funds	MATCH	TOTAL	LO (EPA) Funds	MATCH	TOTAL
Personnel	\$55,101.00	\$0.00	\$55,101.00	\$30,749.50	\$0.00	\$30,749.50
Fringe Benefits	\$18,734.00	\$0.00	\$18,734.00	\$11,212.78	\$0.00	\$11,212.78
Travel	\$2,750.00	\$0.00	\$2,750.00	\$459.36	\$0.00	\$ 459.36
Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00		\$0.00	\$ 0.00
Supplies	\$657.00	\$0.00	\$ 657.00	\$173.55	\$0.00	\$ 173.55
Contracts	\$15,725.00	\$0.00	\$15,725.00	\$8,040.00	\$0.00	\$8,040.00
Other	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00
<b>TOTAL DIRECT CHARGES</b>	<b>\$92,967.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$92,967.00</b>	<b>\$50,635.19</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$50,635.19</b>
Indirect Charges	\$19,233.00	\$0.00	\$19,233.00	\$9,690.41	\$0.00	\$9,690.41
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$112,200.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$112,200.00</b>	<b>\$60,325.60</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$60,325.60</b>
*Explain Any Discrepancies:	Equipment/Vehicle Rental & O&M reported under "Travel."					

## ECOSYSTEM GOALS ADDRESSED

16a. Primary Goal	Healthy Species
16b. Additional Goals	Healthy Habitat -----

## DIRECT THREATS ADDRESSED

17a. Primary Threat	-----
17b. Secondary Threat(s)	-----

## LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND ACTION AGENDA (Version Adopted August 2012)

18a. Primary Strategic Initiative	Tribal Habitat Priorities
18b. Sub-Strategies Employed	A.1.1 A.1.2 A.6.1 A.6.4 A.7.1 D.4.1.1
18c. Near-Term Actions Supported	

## LINKAGES TO EPA PUGET SOUND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

19. Measure(s)	Habitat Restored/Protected -----
----------------	----------------------------------

## LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND DASHBOARD INDICATORS

20a. Primary Indicator	Floodplains
20b. Secondary Indicators	Freshwater Quality -----

## PROJECT LOCATION

21a. Latitude	48.389697	21b. Longitude	-122.500713
21c. Hydrologic Unit Code	17110007 - Lower Skagit	-----	-----
21d. Action Area	Whidbey	-----	-----

## MEASURES OF SUCCESS (Key Outputs)

*22a. Description (e.g., “shellfish beds reopened”)	*22b. Unit (e.g., “acres”)	*22c. Project Target (“number”)	*22d. Project Measure To-Date (“number”)
Vetted Draft Plan Version 1.0	Document	1	1
Vetted Draft Plan Version 2.0	Document	1	1
Vetted Draft Plan Version 3.0	Document	1	1

## PROJECT MILESTONES

**Instructions:** In the tables below, please explain your progress toward meeting agreed outputs for the period, **reasons for slippages**, and any additional information including **reflections, lessons learned, and/or thoughtful analysis**. When appropriate, include analysis and information of **cost overruns or high unit costs**, and changes to work plan or budget not requiring prior approval from EPA. We encourage photo documentation - please attach to the report as a separate document.

<b>23a. Subaward Work Plan Component/Task:</b> Skagit River Steelhead Recovery Stakeholder Engagement & Implementation					
<b>23b. 2012 Action Agenda Near-Term Action(s) Supported:</b>					
*23c. Estimated Costs: \$112,200.00 Actual Costs to Date: \$60,325.60 (If required to report – contact your Project Manager)					
23d. Sub-Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description (include due date)	*23f. Date of Status	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
1.1	Stakeholder Outreach and Engagement: Organize and schedule 3 workshop sessions; Present Draft Plan to general purpose governments; Present Draft Plan to SWC membership; Coordinate with interested groups to develop a stakeholder’s forum; Solicit comments and contributions; Incorporate comments and edit document;	3/31/2019	CURRENT	Edited document with record of stakeholder involvement.	Draft plan has now gone through its first round of technical review. Draft Version 2.0 released Nov 15, 2018 to first circle of stakeholder review. Draft Version 3.0 was released for stakeholder review 1.30.19. Comments have been received back on 3.31.19 and Outreach efforts have been organized for Skagit Watershed

	Document & summarize input/changes/follow-up				Council stakeholder process. Process of organizing Local government review underway.
1.2	Regional Vetting and Plan Integration with NOAA Recovery Planning: Present technical draft to NOAA Regional Recovery Team; Attend NOAA Regional Recovery Team Meetings; Present to interested staff of Regional Management Conference; Present at the end of the project to NOAA showing the results of outreach and stakeholder input.	03/31/2019	BEHIND SCHEDULE	A well vetted draft Recovery Plan ready for inclusion in Regional Recovery Plan.	We continue to stay engaged with regional planning efforts as draft plans and guidance are being now reviewed. Regional guidance to watersheds is now being incorporated into local plan Version 4.0. Close collaboration with Regional Recovery Council planning and content ensures Skagit efforts will closely link with regional guidance. We believe the draft under construction will meet the test of regional standards.
1.3	Plan Adoption and Implementation: Adopt plan as strategy in each the Skagit LE and LIO forums; Secure letters of support from local governments; Secure letters of support from key interest groups; Submit to NOAA; Interim work plans and strategies; Implement	03/31/2019	PLANNED	Adopted Skagit Steelhead Recovery Plan; Near Term work plan and strategy for implementation	Skagit LIO will not be formed. SWC will become the primary vehicle for vetting the draft document.

### CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS (specific to reporting period)

*24a. Task No., Sub-Task No.	*24b. Challenge	*24c. Solution
1.1	Co-manager concurrence on treatment of hatchery components	Discussions regarding hatchery elements are ongoing. Proposed approach is to have hatcheries treated as a research action until such time as it can be demonstrated as safe as a recovery strategy.
1.1	Setting recovery goals	Proposed recovery goal has been included in draft versions being reviewed. Goal and NOAA guidance still needs reconciling, but looks to be well supported.
1.1	Treatment of the Baker system	Unresolved to date. Resolution being sought by opening stakeholder review process.

1.1	Broading of Stakeholder review process has been slowed by inertia of review process with Upper Skagit Tribe	Continue with circulation among co-managers and scientific expertise. Including NWIFC staff

**HIGHLIGHTS/LESSONS LEARNED/REFLECTIONS**

\*25.