



EPA Puget Sound Financial and Ecosystem Accounting Tracking System (FEATS) v. September 2012 for Lead Organization Subawardees

Photo by Rebecca Pirtle, Editor, Kingston Community News (Doe-Kag-Wats Estuary of the Suquamish Tribe)

PROJECT INFORMATION

| | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--|---|---|----------|
| 1. Federal Grant Number | PA-00J276-01 | *2a. Reporting Period Start Date: | 10/1/2018 | *2b. Reporting Period End Date: | 03/31/19 |
| 3. Subaward Organization (Name and complete address including zip code) | | | 4. Subaward Project Manager Contact Information | | |
| Name: Skagit River System Cooperative Address 1: P.O. Box 368 Address 2: City: La Conner State: WA Zip Code: 98257- | | | Name: Steve Hinton Phone: (360) 391-1354 Ext: Fax: () - Email: shinton@skagitcoop.org | | |
| 5a. EPA Program | | 5b. Subaward Project Title and Contract No. | | *6. Collaborating Organizations/Partners | |
| LO - Tribal | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| <u>Subawardee Submission Instructions:</u> LO fills in the white boxes. Subawardee fills in the yellow boxes (boxes with asterisks). Refer to guidance document for how to fill out the boxes. After filling out the yellow boxes, save and e-mail it to your LO Project Manager for approval. LO will roll up the information and submit to EPA for approval. | LO Project Manager: Dani Madrone LO: Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission Phone: 360.528.4318 email: dmadrone@nwifc.org LO Program Coordinator: LO: Phone: email: EPA Project Officer: Lisa Chang | *7a. Name/Title of Person Submitting Report | Steve Hinton Director of Restoration |
| | | *7b. Date Report Submitted | 4/15/2019 |

FUNDING/COST ANALYSIS

| | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| 8a. Total Assistance Amount Awarded: | \$184,100.00 | 8b. Funding Year (Federal Fiscal Year Funds Appropriated) | FY 2016 ----- ----- ----- | *9. Amount Spent To-Date: | \$115,258.43 | *10. Amount Reimbursed To-Date: | \$84,024.73 |
| 11. Match Amount Required | \$0.00 | *12. Total Match Amount Spent and Documented To-Date: | | *13. Have you experienced any cost overruns or high unit costs? | | | |
| *14. What issues or questions do you need the LO Project Manager to respond to? | | | | | | | |

BUDGET UPDATE

| | 15a. APPROVED BUDGET | | | *15b. SPENT TO-DATE | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| | LO (EPA) Funds | MATCH | TOTAL | LO (EPA) Funds | MATCH | TOTAL |
| Personnel | \$95,250.00 | \$0.00 | \$95,250.00 | \$63,540.13 | \$0.00 | \$63,540.13 |
| Fringe Benefits | \$34,290.00 | \$0.00 | \$34,290.00 | \$20,634.87 | \$0.00 | \$20,634.87 |
| Travel | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ 0.00 | | \$0.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| Equipment | \$3,424.00 | \$0.00 | \$3,424.00 | \$799.90 | \$0.00 | \$ 799.90 |
| Supplies | \$200.00 | \$0.00 | \$ 200.00 | \$50.98 | \$0.00 | \$ 50.98 |
| Contracts | \$19,203.00 | \$0.00 | \$19,203.00 | \$10,072.41 | \$0.00 | \$10,072.41 |
| Other | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ 0.00 | | \$0.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| TOTAL DIRECT CHARGES | \$133,164.00 | \$0.00 | \$133,164.00 | \$95,098.29 | \$0.00 | \$95,098.29 |
| Indirect Charges | \$31,733.00 | \$0.00 | \$31,733.00 | \$20,160.14 | \$0.00 | \$20,160.14 |
| TOTAL | \$184,100.00 | \$0.00 | \$184,100.00 | \$115,258.43 | \$0.00 | \$115,258.43 |
| *Explain Any Discrepancies: | The equipment category represents non-capital equipment approved in the budget. | | | | | |

ECOSYSTEM GOALS ADDRESSED

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 16a. Primary Goal | Healthy Species |
| 16b. Additional Goals | ----- |

DIRECT THREATS ADDRESSED

| | |
|--------------------------|-------|
| 17a. Primary Threat | ----- |
| 17b. Secondary Threat(s) | ----- |

LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND ACTION AGENDA (Version Adopted August 2012)

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 18a. Primary Strategic Initiative | Tribal Habitat Priorities |
| 18b. Sub-Strategies Employed | |
| 18c. Near-Term Actions Supported | |

LINKAGES TO EPA PUGET SOUND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

| | |
|----------------|-------|
| 19. Measure(s) | ----- |
|----------------|-------|

LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND DASHBOARD INDICATORS

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| 20a. Primary Indicator | Wild Chinook Salmon |
| 20b. Secondary Indicators | Floodplains Estuaries Stream Flows |

PROJECT LOCATION

| | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------|
| 21a. Latitude | | 21b. Longitude | |
| 21c. Hydrologic Unit Code | 17110007 - Lower Skagit | ----- | ----- |
| 21d. Action Area | Whidbey | ----- | ----- |

MEASURES OF SUCCESS (Key Outputs)

| *22a. Description (e.g., “shellfish beds reopened”) | *22b. Unit (e.g., “acres”) | *22c. Project Target (“number”) | *22d. Project Measure To-Date (“number”) |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

PROJECT MILESTONES

Instructions: In the tables below, please explain your progress toward meeting agreed outputs for the period, **reasons for slippages**, and any additional information including **reflections, lessons learned, and/or thoughtful analysis**. When appropriate, include analysis and information of **cost overruns or high unit costs**, and changes to work plan or budget not requiring prior approval from EPA. We encourage photo documentation - please attach to the report as a separate document.

| 23a. Subaward Work Plan Component/Task: SRSC Program Integration and Data Management | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 23b. 2012 Action Agenda Near-Term Action(s) Supported: | | | | | |
| *23c. Estimated Costs: \$184,100.00 Actual Costs to Date: \$115,258.43 (If required to report – contact your Project Manager) | | | | | |
| 23d. Sub-Task No. | 23e. Sub-Task Description (include due date) | *23f. Date of Status | *23g. Status | 23h. Outputs/Deliverables | *23i. Remarks |
| 1.1 | Develop adult salmon monitoring database and integrate with existing juvenile database | 3/31/2019 | CURRENT | Merged database housing all historical and future juvenile and adult monitoring data | The SRSC data manager has prioritize remaining tasks and will be working in the coming months to make recommendations for improving and merging data warehousing strategies. . |
| 1.2 | Build real time data entry capabilities for adult salmon monitoring data | 3/31/2019 | BEHIND SCHEDULE | Tablet based electronic data entry forms for real time data entry | This task is still a planned task although it is lower in priority than datatasks 1.1 and 1.3. Therefore completion of this task will occur |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----------|-----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | following completion of tasks 1.1 and 1.4. |
| 1.3 | Build standardized data summary reports to share with SRSC programs, comanagers, and public. | 3/31/2019 | BEHIND SCHEDULE | Suite of data summary reports that summarize useful trends in juvenile and adult salmon data. Summaries will be compatible with regional adult migrant exchange database. | We continue working with the SRSC data manager to develop standardized data summary reports. Presently, draft summary reports have been developed for habitat data concerning culverts. The SRSC data manager is currently making adjustments to meet each departments needs as they provide feedback. |
| 1.4 | Data synthesis and writing | 3/31/2019 | BEHIND SCHEDULE | Analysis of all available juvenile and adult salmon data pre and post restoration implementation. Detailed summary of results | We have continued to make progress on the initial analysis to evaluate effectiveness of estuarine habitat restoration projects on increasing rearing capacity of Skagit juvenile Chinook salmon. We anticipate a final write-up of this work by mid 2019. |
| 1.5 | Planning integration of SRSC programs | 3/31/2019 | BEHIND SCHEDULE | Annual report detailing agreed to strategy for program integration. Completed draft manuscript detailing results of Skagit basin wide assessment of restoration effectiveness on Chinook salmon productivity. | The research/recovery and habitat restoration programs have continued to communicate on a more regular basis to improve collaboration. The SRSC data manager has been working with both restoration and research/recovery programs to ensure effective integration of database systems. Efforts to reach cross-department agreement on vision for integration continue to run into barriers. |

CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS (specific to reporting period)

| *24a. Task No., Sub-Task No. | *24b. Challenge | *24c. Solution |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| 1.4 | Availability of dedicated time to complete a draft manuscript detailing findings of the analysis of | .We have set aside dedicated time over the next reporting period to finalize this task. |

| | | |
|-----|---|---|
| 1.5 | A vision for full integration remains elusive. Efforts to pull all departments under one roof. Have been postponed indefinitely as board support was not secured. Reworking our vision to meet the logistic challenges of two offices, the spatial breadth of the basin and our diverse work plans continues to be challenging. | Securing agreement from all departments regarding 5 year work plan will continue through the next reporting period. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

HIGHLIGHTS/LESSONS LEARNED/REFLECTIONS

*25.